| y | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | , 10/738,377 | AUERBACH, JOSHUA S. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael D. Pham | 2167 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | |
| (1) <u>Michael D. Pham</u> . | (3) <u>Wendell Peete (52,10</u> | <u>98)</u> . |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 3 January 2007 | Time: <u>3:30pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Port I | | • |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: | | · |
| Rejection(s) discussed. | | |
| Claims discussed: Further amendments after faxed transmittal of amended claims to clear away potential 112 rejections. Further amended claims included 4, 5, 12, 23, 16, 6, 7, 8, 17, 18 and 19. | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | • |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App | licant/Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Disscussed claim amendments in regard to 35 U.S.C. 101 wherein the independent claims lacked a tangible result. The examiner submitted proposed amendments prior to the interview. Aplicant's representative accepted the proposed amendments. Examiner further amended claims to remove potential 112 rejections. Applicant's representative accepted Examiner amendment in order to put the claims in condition for allowance.